Donor/recipient age index (DoRAIn) as an independent predictor of long-term living-donor renal graft function
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Abstract

Problem: The effect of donor/recipient age disparity on living-donor renal graft function is controversial. The objective of this study is to find new clinical predictors of renal graft function and evaluate the effect of donor/recipient age disparity in our series. Methods: A retrospective review of our institutional renal transplantation database was performed. We calculated the glomerular filtration rate of our patients with the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration formula. Our receptors were categorized using a cut-off of 60 ml/min calculated glomerular filtration rate. An index called “Donor/Recipient Age Index” was created based on the interaction between donor/recipient ages. Univariable and multivariable regression analysis were performed. The Mantel-Cox model was used for statistical analysis. Results: A total of 220 donor/recipient pairs were selected from January 2005 to August 2013. Only 186 pairs completed the one-year follow-up. The mean age of the donors was 35.3 ± 10.4 years and 31.6 ± 11.7 years for the recipients. The Donor/Recipient Age Index significantly predicted a glomerular filtration rate < 60 ml/min at one-year follow-up in univariable (p = 0.02) and multivariable (p = 0.033) regression models. Conclusion: We propose the Donor/Recipient Age Index as a significant predictor of long-term graft function.

Corresponding author: Bernardo Gabilondo Pliego, bernardogab@hotmail.com


Resumen

Problema: El efecto en la disparidad de edad entre donador/receptor renal es controversial. El objetivo de este estudio es hallar nuevos predictores clínicos para la función del injerto renal y evaluar el efecto de la disparidad de edad entre donador/receptor renal en nuestra población. Métodos: Se realizó una revisión retrospectiva en la base de datos institucional de los posttrasplantados renales. Se calculó el índice de filtrado glomerular (GFR) con la fórmula CKD-EPI. Los receptores fueron segmentados en función del GFR de 60 ml/min. El índice de edad donador/receptor (DoRAIn) evaluó la discrepancia de edad entre el donador y el receptor. Se realizó un análisis de regresión univariado y multivariado. El modelo de Mantel-Cox fue ocupado para el análisis estadístico. Resultados: Doscientas veinte parejas de donador-receptor fueron seleccionadas.
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Introduction

Since the first effective renal transplant by Dr. Joseph E. Murray in 1954, it has become one of the most studied and perfected procedures in modern medicine. Due to the improvement in quality of life and reduced mortality in patients with chronic renal failure, as well as being cost-effective when compared with hemodialysis, renal transplantation has become the treatment of choice for all chronic nephropathies. Despite this, early and chronic complications of renal transplantation are causing a loss of up to 34-41% of grafts during the first 10 years after transplantation. Approximately 16.6% of transplanted patients will re-enter a kidney transplant list. During the last 15 years the main focus has been the assessment, management, and research of immunological and non-immunological causes of acute graft rejection as they are the main risk factors for developing chronic allograft nephropathy. As a result, rates of acute graft loss have decreased considerably. However, that has caused an oversight in the study of early detection clinical markers for long-term graft survival and function.

Materials and methods

A retrospective review of our institutional database of renal transplantation was performed. The database is prospectively maintained and authorized by our local Ethics Committee. This research complies with the Declaration of Helsinki and the Declaration of Istanbul. For analysis, only donor/recipient pairs with a complete one-year follow-up were selected. We calculated the glomerular filtration rate (GFR) using the CKD-EPI (Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration) formula. The receptors’ GFR (rGFR) was calculated at one-year follow-up. Two groups were formed based on the rGFR, having 60 ml/min as a cut-off point. After analyzing the interaction between donor and receptor ages, we created an index called the “Donor/Recipient Age Index” (DoRAIn) using the following formula: (receptor age)/(donor age). A univariable and multivariable regression analysis was performed to identify potential prognostic variables for long-term graft function, specifically at one-year follow-up. In both models, the dichotomized rGFR was used as a dependent variable. The Mantel-Cox model was used for statistical analysis and statistical significance was established at p < 0.05. We used SPSS v. 17.0 (IBM corp.) as an auxiliary statistical program.

Results

During this study, a total of 220 donor-recipient pairs were selected between January 2005 and August 2013. Only 186 pairs had a complete one-year follow-up and were included in analysis. The donor group presented a higher percentage of female patients (47.3%), while the receptor group had a bigger number of male patients (55.4%). The mean age of the receptor group was 31.6 ± 11.7 years and 35.3 ± 10.4 for the donor group. All basal characteristics are listed in tables 1 and 2.

After final analysis, the mean DoRAIn obtained was 0.97 ± 0.45 for all pairs. A total of 108 pairs presented a DoRAIn < 1 (receptor younger than donor) and only 78 pairs presented a DoRAIn > 1 (receptor older than donor).

During univariable analysis, only two studied variables resulted as significantly related to the rGFR at one year: “graft dysfunction episode” during the one-year post-transplantation (p < 0.001) and DoRAIn (p = 0.02). The rest of the univariable regression analysis results are listed in table 3.

When the multivariable regression analysis was done, we found a significant statistical relationship between having a GFR < 60 ml/min at one-year post-transplantation and the receptors’ gender (p = 0.046). Also, “a graft dysfunction episode” during the first year post-transplantation (p < 0.001) and DoRAIn (p = 0.033) resulted as significant. Table 4 shows the rest of the multivariable regression analysis.

Discussion

A previous retrospective study analyzed a cohort of renal grafts at 1, 5, 10, 15, and 18 years and determined...
that overall graft survival was 97.1, 92.3, 86.2, 77.6, and 60.3%, respectively. Therefore, there must be factors that affect graft function and survival over time. Donors and recipients have been studied to find those factors that could be treated during the life of a renal graft to improve its life expectancy.

Among the factors that proved to be predictors of graft function are body mass index (BMI) and the donor kidney volume\textsuperscript{10-12}. The relationship between BMI and long-term failure of grafts follows a U pattern, where the most extreme values comprise those patients that have an elevated risk of graft failure\textsuperscript{13}. Furthermore, one similar study found that obese patients (BMI > 30 kg/m\textsuperscript{2}) were related to delayed graft function, acute rejection, and graft loss\textsuperscript{14}.

Evaluating our results, the gender of the receptors presented a significant association with the prognosis of the GFR at one-year post-transplantation. Some studies suggest that grafts from male donors tend to have a better function in the long term than the kidneys donated by female patients\textsuperscript{15,16}. It has been proved that receptors of female donor grafts present a lower survival rate at 1 and 10 years of follow-up\textsuperscript{17}. Additionally, these receptors have a higher incidence of complications and require more hospitalizations\textsuperscript{18}. Male characteristics that might explain these results are a higher GFR related to a larger kidney mass and larger number of glomeruli\textsuperscript{19}.

In addition to demographic and clinical factors, the measurements of serum markers, such as KIM-I,
Table 3. Results from the univariate regression analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GFR at 1 year</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>HR</th>
<th>95% confidence interval</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 60 ml/min</td>
<td>Pre-transplant induction</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>0.57-4.52</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Warm ischemia</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.92-1.22</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pre-transplant dialysis</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.58-1.89</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Haplotype</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.40-1.14</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PRA I</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.97-1.01</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PRA II</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.99-1.03</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1-year graft dysfunction</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.57</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Dichotomous (yes vs. no))</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.91-10.63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Donor BMI</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.90-1.15</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Donor gender</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>0.82-3.03</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recipient gender</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0.67-2.44</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recipient BMI</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.89-1.07</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DoRAIn (Continuous)</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.15-0.84</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GFR: glomerular filtration rate; HR: hazard ratio; PRA: panel reactive antibody; BMI: body mass index; DoRAIn: Donor-Recipient Age Index.

Table 4. Results from the multivariate regression analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GFR at 1 year</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Types of variable</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>HR</th>
<th>95% confidence interval</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 60 ml/min</td>
<td>Pre-transplant induction</td>
<td>Dichotomous (yes vs. no)</td>
<td>0.773</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>3.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Warm ischemia</td>
<td>Continuous (seconds)</td>
<td>0.919</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pre-transplant dialysis</td>
<td>Categorical (HD/PD/hone)</td>
<td>0.159</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Haplotype</td>
<td>Categorical (0/1/2)</td>
<td>0.112</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PRA I</td>
<td>Discrete (percentage)</td>
<td>0.321</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PRA II</td>
<td>Discrete (percentage)</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1-year graft dysfunction</td>
<td>Dichotomous (yes vs. no)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>7.64</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>18.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Donor BMI</td>
<td>Continuous (kg/m²)</td>
<td>0.544</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Donor gender</td>
<td>Dichotomous (M vs. F)</td>
<td>0.668</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>2.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recipient gender</td>
<td>Dichotomous (M vs. F)</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>6.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recipient BMI</td>
<td>Continuous (kg/m²)</td>
<td>0.572</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DoRAIn</td>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GFR: glomerular filtration rate; HR: hazard ratio; PRA: panel reactive antibody; BMI: body mass index; DoRAIn: Donor-Recipient Age Index; HD: hemodialysis; PD: peritoneal dialysis; M: male; F: female.
NA GL, NAG, or H-FABP, have been proposed as potential predictors of graft function and survival. One of the first factors studied was the age of donors. Older ages of the donors impact future function of the grafts. Likewise, receptors of grafts from donors younger than 65 years have better results in GFR and a higher survival rate at five years post-transplantation. Moreover, donors older than 50 years present an elevated risk of increased creatinine levels after transplantation. A small functional mass, increased interstitial fibrosis, and glomerular sclerosis are factors more frequently found in older donors that might explain the diminished long-term survival of the grafts.

The relationship between donor and receptor ages has been a subject of study during recent years. It has been proved that receptors from similar age donors tend to have a better graft prognosis and differences in graft function and survival have been proved that receptors from similar age donors has been a subject of study during recent years. It has been found that higher survival rate at five years post-transplantation. However, a novel study did not find a clear association between donor-receptor ages and graft survival.

Our research team proposes a novel index created to express the interaction between the age of the donor and the receptor. Additionally, we propose that this index, named DoRAIn, is a significant predictor of long-term graft function. After univariable and multivariable regression analysis, we found a significant correlation between DoRAIn and the risk of having a GFR < 60 ml/min at one-year follow-up after transplantation (HR: 0.21; p = 0.033).

Probably, this index may have a better discriminative capacity since it describes the interaction between donor/receptor ages in an objective and quantitative manner as an independent variable.

In conclusion, we propose that the calculation of DoRAIn is a simple index that could be used to find donor/receptor couples at risk of diminished graft function after transplantation. It is an easy method that can be calculated by all physicians. Further external validation should be pursued.

Our study has some limitations. Our sample size is still relatively small compared to other series. The immunosuppressive therapy was not analyzed because all patients usually receive a three-medication basic scheme in our institution; however, it may pose a potential bias because doses and compliance were not included. The study population belongs only to our institute, so it would be advisable to extend the sample to other centers. A prospective analysis would improve results. The next goal is to validate this parameter with a longer follow-up and a wider multi-institutional sample.
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