

Socioeconomic inequalities in oral health service utilization any time in their lives for Mexican schoolchildren 6 to 12 years old

Sandra Isabel Jiménez-Gayosso¹, Carlo Eduardo Medina-Solís^{1*}, Edith Lara-Carrillo², Rogelio José Scougal-Vilchis², Rubén de la Rosa-Santillana¹, Sonia Márquez-Rodríguez¹, Martha Mendoza-Rodríguez¹ and José de Jesús Navarrete-Hernández¹

¹Odontology Academic Area, Health Sciences Institute, Universidad Autónoma del Estado de Hidalgo, Pachuca, Hgo.; ²Center of Research and Advanced Studies on Odontology, Faculty of Odontology, Universidad Autónoma del Estado de México, Toluca, Edo. de México, Mexico

Abstract

Objective: To determine the prevalence and existence of socioeconomic inequalities in dental health services utilization (DHSU) any time in life in schoolchildren aged 6 to 12 years from Pachuca, Hidalgo, Mexico. **Material and methods:** A cross-sectional study was conducted in 1,404 schoolchildren aged 6 to 12 years from 14 public schools of the city of Pachuca, Hidalgo, Mexico. Questionnaires were distributed in order to determine socioeconomic position (SEP) variables. The dependent variable was DHSU any time in life (0 = No and 1 = Yes). The analysis was performed in the Stata 9 software using the chi-square test. **Results:** Mean age was 8.97 ± 1.99 years and 50.1% were boys. The prevalence of DHSU any time in life was 71.4%. The percentage of DHSU increased with age ($p < 0.05$). Significant differences ($p < 0.05$) in DHSU percentages were observed across the SEP variables: health insurance, owning a car at home, housing and household goods characteristics; the better the SEP level, the higher the prevalence of DHSU. Although no differences were observed in mothers' education ($p < 0.05$), fathers' education was inversely associated ($p < 0.05$) to what was expected. **Conclusions:** The findings of this study demonstrate that DHSU prevalence was not 100%. 28.6% of the children have never had any contact with a dentist. Certain SEP-indicating variables were identified to be associated with DHSU, thus suggesting the existence of inequalities in this oral health indicator. (Gac Med Mex. 2015;151:25-30)

Corresponding author: Carlo Eduardo Medina Solís, cemedinas@yahoo.com

KEY WORDS: Oral health. Health services. Service utilization. Mexico.

Introduction

One of the objectives of healthcare systems is to provide the type of service the population needs in order to improve health levels. However, heterogeneous environments coexist in Mexico in terms healthcare services provision and oral health needs in the

population. As for dental health services, on one side, the public ones offer only a limited range of services (fillings, extractions, preventive care), and on the other, funding and patient access to dental services are also restricted by excluding most specialized services (such as endodontics, periodontics, prostheses, etc.) from public coverage, which forces patients to pay for this type of care directly in odontologic private services resulting in out-of-the-pocket expenses and, occasionally, catastrophic expenses¹⁻⁴. Furthermore, a study demonstrated that coverage of this kind of service in Mexico reaches only 46% of the population¹. With

Correspondence:

*Carlo Eduardo Medina Solís
Avenida del Álamo, 204
Fraccionamiento Paseo de los Solares
Col. Santiago Tlapacoya, C.P. 42110, Pachuca de Soto, Hgo.,
México
E-mail: cemedinas@yahoo.com

Date of reception: 27-07-2013

Date of acceptance: 13-02-2014

regard to health care needs, the most important oral health problem in children and adolescents is dental caries which, measured through the decayed, missing and filled teeth index (DMFT and deft indices, according to the assessed dentition period), consistently shows high levels of caries and low restoring treatment experience, in addition to being concentrated among low socio-economic level subjects⁵⁻¹¹.

Healthcare services utilization results from the interaction of biological determinants with family and community-associated sociocultural factors. Some authors mention that access to healthcare depends on persons (their individual characteristics) and on the place where they live (characteristics of the community). This way, dental health services utilization (DHSU) is a function of the individual's predisposition to use them (a type of individual behavior), which is influenced by socio-demographic characteristics, social and cultural determinants, preferences and expectations with regard to oral health and knowledge of the dental care system, as well as the perceived need by health status, disease severity, limitation in activities and presence of factors that facilitate the utilization of services, such as income, social network and access to a regular source of care¹²⁻¹⁴.

Although there is no purely scientific consensus on the periodicity of DHSU, the American Academy of Pediatrics and The American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry recommend that the age to start with oral health examinations should be the first year of life, with subsequent periodical examinations at least twice yearly. With this, the chances of minimally invasive both preventive and curative actions are increased^{15,16}. Some studies have found socioeconomic variables to be associated both with morbidity and mortality¹⁷⁻²⁰, which results in the so-called social gradient in health. This can also be observed with regard to the status of oral health²¹. In this sense, a number of studies conducted in children and adolescents have associated SEP with DHSU: the better the SEP, the higher the DHSU percentage, regardless of the used indicator. For example, in Greece²², the socioeconomic level was used; in the USA²² the military rank of the father was used; in Spain^{24,25}, social class, mother's education and income were used, and in Nicaragua²⁶, education and occupation of the parents were used. On the other hand, in Mexico, some works have been carried out in preschool and school-aged children and adolescents where similar conclusions have also been reached^{2,3,27-29}. In spite of the clear importance of the subject, few studies have been conducted to document socioeconomic inequalities in oral health services

utilization in Mexico; therefore, in this study we tried to determine the prevalence and the existence of socioeconomic inequalities in oral health services utilization any time in life in schoolchildren aged 6 to 12 years from the city of Pachuca, Hidalgo, Mexico.

Material and methods

Design, population and study sample

The conduction of this study met the general health law specifications for research. This study was considered not to entail any risk because it does not compromise the physical, moral or emotional integrity of the participants. The protocol was approved at the Odontology Academic Area of the Universidad Autónoma del Estado de Hidalgo.

Pachuca de Soto is the capital city of the State of Hidalgo. It is located at the center-eastern part of Mexico, 96 km north of Mexico City and has an altitude of 2,400-2,800 m above sea-level. It has a population of 256,584 inhabitants in the city and 267,862 in the complete municipality; it has a human development index of 0.9022 and contributes with 13.6% of the state gross domestic product of Hidalgo³⁰. The state participates in the National Salt Fluoridation Plan and, according to the Mexican Association of the Salt Industry, two types of salt are distributed: iodized salt and iodized-fluoridated salt. The latter is distributed in Pachuca³¹.

This is a cross-sectional design study that initially included a target population of 1,554 schoolchildren aged from 6 to 12 years. It is part of a larger study where several oral health markers were measured³². In the first stage, 14 of the 93 public elementary schools from the city of Pachuca, Hidalgo, were randomly selected, with an estimated inclusion of 112 children per school, evenly distributed by age and sex. Subsequently, using the schools' attendance lists and through simple random sampling, the study subjects were selected. In a first moment, a questionnaire return of 73.8% (n = 1,158) was achieved; in a second reminder, 87.8% (n = 1,376) was reached and, finally, in a third moment, 93.8% (n = 1,470) was achieved. Inclusion criteria were: a) being enrolled in any of the selected schools; b) both sexes and c) 6 to 12 years of age. Exclusion criteria were: a) having any condition that compromised oral health; b) being out of the age range and c) failure to sign the informed consent letter. After applying the inclusion criteria, 98 questionnaires were excluded, leaving a final sample of 1,404 subjects (90.3% of the original sample).

Table 1. Univariate analysis distribution

Variable	Mean $\pm$ SD
Sex:	
Males	703 (50.1)
Females	701 (49.9)
Age:	
6-7 years	409 (29.1)
8-10 years	609 (43.4)
11-12 years	386 (27.5)
Father education:	
Up to junior high-school	428 (31.3)
Higher than junior high-school	941 (68.7)
Mother education:	
Up to junior high-school	452 (32.2)
Higher than junior high-school	952 (67.8)
Health insurance of the child:	
No insurance	433 (30.8)
IMSS/ISSSTE	727 (51.8)
PEMEX, Army, Navy	68 (4.8)
Private	49 (3.5)
Seguro Popular	127 (9.1)
Automobile ownership	
No	504 (36.7)
Yes	871 (63.4)
Housing characteristics:	
1 st quartile	356 (25.4)
2 nd quartile	354 (25.2)
3 rd quartile	345 (24.6)
4 th quartile	349 (24.9)
Ownership of household appliances:	
1 st quartile	351 (25.0)
2 nd quartile	352 (25.1)
3 rd quartile	351 (25.0)
4 th quartile	350 (24.9)
DHSU:	
Yes	1,002 (71.4)
No	402 (28.6)

SD: standard deviation

The dependent variable for this study was the DHSU, operationally defined as having or not having used some type of oral health service any time in life. Additionally, independent variables included were: age, (0) 6-7 years, (1) 8-10 years, (2) 11-12 years; sex (0) males and (1) females, as well as a series of SEP indicators such as parental level of education, (0) up to junior high school and (1) higher than junior high school; health insurance, (0) no health insurance (1) Instituto Mexicano del Seguro Social/Instituto de Seguridad y Servicios Sociales de los Trabajadores del Estado (IMSS/ISSSTE), (2) Petróleos Mexicanos (PEMEX)/Army/Navy, (3) private insurance, (4) Seguro Popular; owning an automobile at home, (0) No, (1) Yes. Finally, two more socioeconomic variables were created and, for that purpose, the analysis of the main components was used; specifically, the methodology known as polychoric correlation was applied³³. In this analysis, a series of variables correlated with each other were combined: housing characteristics (floor, walls, roof materials, etc.) and household appliances (refrigerator, stove, computer, etc.), by means of which, 33.4% (housing) and 63.1% (appliances) of the variability could be accounted for. The resulting variables were divided into quartiles, with the first quartile representing the lowest SEP, and the fourth quartile the highest SEP.

Statistical analysis

The statistical pack Stata 9.0 was used to carry out the data analysis. Since variables were categorical, frequencies and percentages were reported in the univariate analysis. In order to look for differences in the DHSU across the different included indicators, a bivariate analysis was performed, where the chi-square test was used. A p-value 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Results

This study had the participation of 1,404 schoolchildren, out of which 50.1% were males and mean age was 8.97 ± 1.99 years. The descriptive results can be found in table 1. On the survey applied to the parents/legal guardians of the children, the following results were obtained: 68.7% of the fathers had an education higher than junior high school, whereas the percentage of mothers with the same level of education was 67.8%. As for health insurance, 51.8% had access to the IMSS/ISSSTE, while 3.5% had a private health

Data collection and configuration of variables

The collection of information was carried out by means of a questionnaire directed to the parents/legal guardians of the study subjects, which was distributed through the schools and retrieved by the same route. With the questionnaires, a series of socioeconomic and sociodemographic variables were collected, as well as the DHSU patterns.

Table 2. Bivariate analysis between DHSU any time in life and the independent variables included in the study

Variable	DHSU: No n (%)	DHSU: Yes n (%)	p-value
Sex:			
Males	199 (28.3)	504 (71.7)	0.787
Females	203 (29.0)	498 (71.0)	
Age:			nptrend
6-7 years	136 (33.2)	273 (66.8)	z = 2.26; p = 0.026
8-10 years	165 (27.1)	444 (72.9)	
11-12 years	101 (30.4)	285 (73.8)	
Father education:			
Up to junior high school	98 (23.0)	330 (77.1)	0.004
Higher than junior high school	286 (30.4)	655 (70.0)	
Mother education:			
Up to junior high school	123 (27.2)	329 (73.0)	0.417
Higher than junior high school	279 (29.3)	673 (71.0)	
Health insurance of the child:			
No insurance	115 (26.6)	318 (73.4)	0.011
IMSS/ISSSTE	230 (31.6)	497 (68.4)	
Pemex/Army/Navy	18 (26.5)	50 (73.5)	
Private	17 (34.7)	32 (65.3)	
Seguro Popular	22 (17.3)	105 (83.0)	
Automobile ownership:			
No	133 (26.4)	371 (73.6)	0.252
Yes	255 (29.3)	616 (71.0)	
Housing characteristics:			
1 st quartile	89 (25.0)	267 (75.0)	0.000
2 nd quartile	107 (30.2)	247 (70.0)	
3 rd quartile	129 (37.4)	216 (63.0)	
4 th quartile	77 (22.1)	272 (78.0)	
Household appliances:			
1 st quartile	183 (52.1)	168 (48.0)	0.000
2 nd quartile	73 (21.0)	279 (79.3)	
3 rd quartile	85 (24.2)	266 (76.0)	
4 th quartile	61 (17.4)	289 (83.0)	

insurance. Of the surveyed sample, 63.4% owned an automobile at home. With regard to the SEP indicators, these were divided into quartiles. The prevalence of DHSU any time in life was 71.4%.

Table 2 shows the bivariate results of DHSU prevalence for each category of variables included in the study. With regard to sex, the rates of DHSU between males and females were found to be very similar ($p > 0.05$). Lower DHSU was observed among younger children (66.8%) and higher DHSU among the older ones ($p > 0.05$). As for the parents' level of education, no differences were observed in the prevalence

of DHSU by education of the mother; however, a higher proportion of DHSU was observed in the children of fathers with lower education than in those with fathers with higher education ($p > 0.01$). The highest prevalence of DHSU was detected among those affiliated to the Seguro Popular and the lowest among those who had a private health insurance ($p > 0.05$). The possession of an automobile at home did not make any differences in DHSU frequency in these children ($p > 0.05$). Among the housing characteristics and household appliances, the prevalence of DHSU in both was found to be higher in those with better levels of SEP ($p < 0.001$).

Discussion

The purpose of this study was to determine the prevalence of DHSU and at the same time to identify the existence of socioeconomic inequalities in the use of oral health services any time in life in schoolchildren from Pachuca, Hidalgo. The results showed that the percentage of DHSU among the studied schoolchildren was 71.4% ($n = 1,002$) (from 66.8 to 73.8% according to age), indicating that there are school-aged children that have not yet had any contact at all with a dentist. This is worrying considering that in Mexico oral health of children and adolescents is still poor⁵⁻¹¹, and DHSU is an important opportunity to improve children's health through preventative and curative actions. Studies conducted on DHSU any time in life are few, but certain comparisons and inferences can be made. For example, Mantonanaki et al.²², in a study carried out in Greek children aged 5 years, an age lower than ours, found the prevalence of DHSU any time in life to be 84%, a higher percentage than that observed in the present study. However, in Brazil, in preschool-aged children, the majority (79%) had not had a dental visit in their lives³⁴. Studies in Mexico have demonstrated that the prevalences of DHSU within the previous 12 months for children and adolescents range from 31 to 35%^{2,3,27-29}. Clearly, the percentages are variable, and this variability could result from the social and economic development of each site where the studies were conducted.

With the epidemiologic evidence generated around the world, the existence of socioeconomic position-related oral health inequalities is undeniable, which represents a huge challenge for both health policies and public health³⁵. Mexico is located in a region where the highest social inequalities occur, with concrete expressions in social health determinants that configure disparities in social healthcare indicators, access to services and general health conditions. Currently, these inequalities are further deepening, resulting in a polarized society where poverty persists and income distribution worsens, which emphasizes the differences between rich and poor³⁶. This situation has a strong impact on the inequalities observed both in oral health and access to dental treatment to satisfy these needs. On the other hand, barriers created by parents and healthcare providers and systems are also found, which result of a lack of basic infrastructure and dysfunctional health insurance programs³⁷. The importance of social determinants in health has been recognized for many years, with evidence that the impact of social phenomena and context affect, in a dose-response

manner, several health outcomes³⁸⁻⁴¹. The exact mechanism by which the socioeconomic position of individuals or of the context they live in are associated is not very clear, since the SEP is a multidimensional construct⁴²; however, some authors (for a more in-depth analysis, see Borrell, 2010³⁹, Braveman, 2011⁴⁰, Adler et al., 2012⁴¹) mention several hypotheses for a plausible explanation of this association. For example, the physiological explanation of stress, which can produce deterioration in multiple organs over time (for example, through neuroendocrine and immune/inflammatory processes); in turn, people with more resources are able to cope with daily and special challenges. In the case of oral health services utilization, lack of economic resources is an important barrier to access them, even more so in a system such as the Mexican, with limited coverage of this kind of services. In this sense, the results of the SEP variables in the present study are consistent (except for the father's education) with investigations conducted around the world: people with higher levels of poverty have the lowest prevalence of DHSU as well, regardless of the SEP indicators employed. Low DHSU may be due to the priorities families have, which leave oral health until the end. In this sense, our results are consistent with several studies. In Greece, Mantonanaki et al.²² found that lower socioeconomic children used dental services less. These same results were observed in Spain by Barriuso et al.^{24,25}: low class children, sons of mothers with education levels lower than college and with lower income showed less DHSU. In Brazil, the use of dental services was lower among children of mothers with low education level^{34,43} and better income⁴³, the same as in the USA with the military rank of the father²³. These results have been corroborated with similar conclusions in Mexico^{2,3,27-29}, which further supports the findings of this work.

The study has certain limitations that have to be considered for its interpretation. First, every cross-sectional design study has the problem of temporal ambiguity, where cause and effect are measured simultaneously and, therefore, conclusions can not be causal. On the other hand, the use of questionnaires may be introducing some bias, since some persons may not remember exactly every detail related to DHSU. Taking into account the obtained results, we can conclude that the prevalence of DHSU was not 100%; 28.6% of the children have never had any contact with a dentist. Certain SEP-indicating variables associated with the DHSU were identified, which suggests the existence of disparities in this oral health indicator, even though the

education level of the father was not consistent. Strategies are required to increase oral health services access, and thereby reduce the gaps existing between the different SEP groups.

Bibliography

- Pérez-Núñez R, Medina-Solis CE, Maupomé G, Vargas-Palacios A. Factors associated with dental health care coverage in Mexico: Findings from the National Performance Evaluation Survey 2002-2003. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol*. 2006;34(5):387-97.
- Medina-Solis CE, Casanova-Rosado AJ, Casanova-Rosado JF, Vallejos-Sánchez AA, Maupomé G, Ávila-Burgos L. Factores socioeconómicos y dentales asociados a la utilización de servicios dentales en escolares de Campeche, México. *Bol Med Hosp Infant Mex*. 2004; 61:324-33.
- Pontigo-Loyola AP, Medina-Solis CE, Márquez-Corona ML, et al. Influencia de variables predisponentes, facilitadoras y de necesidades sobre la utilización de servicios de salud bucal en adolescentes mexicanos en un medio semirural. *Gac Med Mex*. 2012;148:218-26.
- Medina-Solis CE, Pontigo-Loyola AP, Mendoza-Rodríguez M, et al. Treatment needs for dental caries, restorative care index, and index of extractions in adolescents 12 to 15 years old. *West Indian Med J*. 2013;62(7):636-41.
- Molina-Frecherio N, Pierdant-Rodríguez AI, Oropeza-Oropeza A, Bologna-Molina R. Fluorosis and dental caries: an assessment of risk factors in Mexican children. *Rev Invest Clin*. 2012;64(1):67-73.
- Irigoyen ME, Mejía-González A, Zepeda-Zepeda MA, Betancourt-Linares A, Lezana-Fernández MA, Álvarez-Lucas CH. Dental caries in Mexican schoolchildren: a comparison of 1988-1989 and 1998-2001 surveys. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal*. 2012;17(5):e825-32.
- Villalobos-Rodelo JJ, Medina-Solis CE, Verdugo-Barraza L, et al. Experiencia de lesiones cariosas reversibles y no-reversibles en escolares mexicanos de 11 y 12 años de edad: un análisis de regresión binomial negativa. *Biomedica*. 2013;33(1):88-98.
- Padilla-Suzuki BE, Llodra-Calvo JC, Belio-Reyes IA, et al. Predicción de riesgo de caries en escolares del noroeste de México: estudio longitudinal. *Rev Invest Clin*. 2013;65(1):24-9.
- Maupomé G, Martínez-Mier EA, Holt A, Medina-Solis CE, Mantilla-Rodríguez A, Carlton B. The association between geographical factors and dental caries in a rural area in Mexico. *Cad Saude Publica*. 2013;29(7):1407-14.
- García-Pérez A, Irigoyen-Camacho ME, Borges-Yáñez A. Fluorosis and dental caries in Mexican schoolchildren residing in areas with different water fluoride concentrations and receiving fluoridated salt. *Caries Res*. 2013;47(4):299-308.
- Zuñiga-Manriquez AG, Medina-Solis CE, Lara-Carrillo E, et al. Experiencia, prevalencia y severidad de caries dental asociada con el estado nutricional en infantes mexicanos de 17 a 47 meses de edad. *Rev Invest Clin*. 2013;65(3):228-36.
- Baldani MH, Brito WH, Lawder JA, Mendes YB, Da Silva Fde F, Antunes JL. Individual determinants of dental care utilization among low-income adult and elderly individuals. *Rev Bras Epidemiol*. 2010; 13(1):150-62.
- Baldani MH, Bittencourt Y, Mendes E, et al. Inequalities in dental services utilization among Brazilian low-income children: the role of individual determinant. *J Public Health Dent*. 2011;71(1):46-53.
- Franco-Cortés AM, Ramírez-Puerta S, Escobar-Paucar G, Isaac-Millán M, Londoño-Marín PA. Barreras de acceso a los servicios odontológicos de niños y niñas menores de 6 años pertenecientes a familias desplazadas. *Revista CES Odontología*. 2010;23:41-8.
- American Academy of Pediatrics. Oral health risk assessment timing and establishment of the dental home. AAP Policy Statement. *Pediatrics*. 2003;111:1113-6.
- American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry, Council on Clinical Affairs. Policy on the dental home. 2010. [Internet] Disponible en: www.aapd.org/media/Policies_Guidelines/P_DentalHome.pdf. Consultado el 12 de julio de 2011.
- Vellakkal S, Subramanian SV, Millett C, Basu S, Stuckler D, Ebrahim S. Socioeconomic inequalities in non-communicable diseases prevalence in India: disparities between self-reported diagnoses and standardized measures. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(7):e68219.
- Mhaskar RS, Ricardo I, Azliyat A, et al. Assessment of risk factors of helicobacter pylori infection and peptic ulcer disease. *J Glob Infect Dis*. 2013;5(2):60-7.
- Kibele EU. Individual- and area-level effects on mortality risk in Germany, both East and West, among male Germans aged 65+. *Int J Public Health*. 2014;59(3):439-48.
- Padyab M, Malmberg G, Norberg M, Blomstedt Y. Life course socioeconomic position and mortality: A population register-based study from Sweden. *Scand J Public Health*. 2013;41(8):785-91.
- Moysés SJ. Inequalities in oral health and oral health promotion. *Braz Oral Res*. 2012;26 Suppl 1:86-93.
- Mantonanaki M, Koletsis-Kounari H, Mamai-Homata E, Papaioannou W. Prevalence of dental caries in 5-year-old Greek children and the use of dental services: evaluation of socioeconomic, behavioural factors and living conditions. *Int Dent J*. 2013;63(2):72-9.
- Chaffin JG, Moss D, Martin G, Leidecker T, Mascarenhas AK. Children's utilization of the U.S. Military Dental Insurance. *Mil Med*. 2013;178(7):816-8.
- Barriuso-Lapresa L, Sanz-Barbero B. Análisis multinivel del uso de servicios de salud bucodental por población infanto-juvenil. *Gac Sanit*. 2011;25(5):391-6.
- Barriuso-Lapresa L, Sanz-Barbero B. Variables asociadas al uso de los servicios de salud bucodental por la población preescolar en España: un análisis de la Encuesta Nacional de Salud. *Rev Esp Salud Publica*. 2012;86(1):115-24.
- Medina-Solis CE, Maupomé G, Herrera MS, Ávila-Burgos L, Pérez-Núñez R, Lamadrid-Figueroa H. Dental health services utilization in children 6-12 year old in a low-income country. *J Public Health Dent*. 2008;68(1):39-45.
- Medina-Solis CE, Maupomé G, Ávila-Burgos L, Casanova-Rosado JF, Vallejos-Sánchez AA, Segovia-Villanueva A. Utilización de servicios odontológicos de salud por niños menores de 5 años con seguridad social. *Rev Mex Pediatr*. 2004;71:222-8.
- Medina-Solis CE, Villalobos-Rodelo JJ, Márquez-Corona ML, Vallejos-Sánchez AA, López Portillo-Núñez C, Casanova-Rosado AJ. Desigualdades socioeconómicas en la utilización de servicios de salud bucal: estudio en escolares mexicanos de 6 a 12 años de edad. *Cadernos de Saude Publica*. 2009;25(12):2621-31.
- Vallejos-Sánchez AA, Medina-Solis CE, Minaya-Sánchez M, et al. Maternal characteristics and treatment needs as predictors of dental health services utilisation among Mexican school children. *Eur J Paediatr Dent*. 2012;13(4):307-10.
- SEGOB Secretaría de Gobernación, INAFED Instituto para el Federalismo y el Desarrollo Municipal. Enciclopedia de los Municipios y Delegaciones de México. 2010. Disponible en: http://www.elocal.gob.mx/wb2/ELOCAL/EMM_hidalgo. Consultado el 24 de junio de 2013.
- AMISAC. Distribución de Sal Yodada y Sal Yodada-Fluorurada en México. Disponible en: http://www.amisac.org.mx/index_archivos/31.htm. Consultado el 24 de junio de 2013.
- Hernández-Martínez CT, Medina-Solis CE, Robles-Bermeo NL, Mendoza-Rodríguez M, Veras-Hernández M, De la Rosa-Santillana R, et al. Uso de auxiliares para la higiene bucal y su distribución por edad y sexo en escolares de 6 a 12 años de edad de Pachuca. *Rev Invest Clin*. 2014;66(2):157-163.
- Kolenikov S, Angeles G. The use of discrete data in principal component analysis with applications to socio-economic indices. *CPC/MEASURE Working paper No. WP-04-85*. 2004.
- Goetters ML, Ardenghi TM, Demarco FF, Romano AR, Torriani DD. Children's use of dental services: influence of maternal dental anxiety, attendance pattern, and perception of children's quality of life. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol*. 2012;40(5):451-8.
- Marmot M, Bell R. Social determinants and dental health. *Adv Dent Res*. 2011;23(2):201-6.
- Linares-Pérez N, López-Arellano O. Inequidades en la salud en México. *Gac Med Mex*. 2012;148(6):591-7.
- Navarro MF, Modena KC, Bresciani E. Social disparity and oral health. *Braz Oral Res*. 2012;26 Suppl 1:17-24.
- Marmot M, Wilkinson RG. *Social Determinants of Health*. Oxford University Press: 1999.
- Borrell C, Malmusi D. La investigación sobre los determinantes sociales y las desigualdades en salud: evidencias para la salud en todas las políticas. Informe SESPAS 2010. *Gac Sanit*. 2010;24 Suppl 1:101-8.
- Braveman P. Accumulating knowledge on the social determinants of health and infectious disease. *Public Health Rep*. 2011;126 Suppl 3:28-30.
- Adler N, Bush NR, Pantell MS. Rigor, vigor, and the study of health disparities. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2012;109 Suppl 2:17154-9.
- Laaksonen M, Rahkonen O, Martikainen P, Lahelma E. Socioeconomic position and self-rated health: the contribution of childhood socioeconomic circumstances, adult socioeconomic status, and material resources. *Am J Public Health*. 2005;95(8):1403-9.
- Camargo MB, Barros AJ, Frazão P, et al. Predictors of dental visits for routine check-ups and for the resolution of problems among preschool children. *Rev Saude Publica*. 2012;46(1):87-97.